





Implemented by the Council of Europe

Summary quality check Emerald Sites Database for Georgia

(Final delivery in the frame of the EU/CoE JP 2009-2011)

This summary quality report gives detailed indications on the technical quality and completeness of the data fields in each of the data tables in the Emerald Sites Database. One should note that the tables below do not represent any assessment of scientific quality of the sites proposed to join the Emerald Network with regards to the long-term survival of the species and the habitats respectively of Resolution No. 6 (1998) and Resolution No. 4 (1996) of the Bern Convention.

Table BIOTOP: Basic Site Data

Field Name	Description	Comment
TYPE	Site type	OK
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
DATE	Compilation Date	OK
UPDATE	Update date	OK, please indicate a date if significant
		data have changed in a new update
DATE_PROP	Date site proposed as eligible as	OK
DATE CON	ASCI	NT/A
DATE_CON	Date confirmed as ASCI	N/A
RESPONDENT	Respondent	OK, but please add some more details
MANAGER	Site Manager	No information given for 4 sites
		Correct some typing errors (e.g.
		"protected areas departmenmt")
		Please indicate some more detail
SITE_NAME	Site Name	OK
AREA	Area in ha	Please use "," as decimal separator!
LENGTH	Site length in meters	OK
LON_EW	Longitude East/West	OK
LAT_NZ	Latitude North/South	OK
LON_DEG	Longitude Degrees	OK
LON_MIN	Longitude Minutes	OK
LON_SEC	Longitude Seconds	OK
LAT_DEG	Latitude Degrees	OK
LAT_MIN	Latitude Minutes	OK
LAT_SEC	Latitude Seconds	OK
ALT_MEAN	Altitude Mean	OK
ALT_MIN	Altitude Minimum	OK
ALT_MAX	Altitude Maximum	OK
ANATOL	Biogeographic	N/A
	region/Anatolian	

Field Name	Description	Comment
ARCTIC	Biogeographic region/Arctic	N/A
ALPINE	Biogeographic region/Alpine	OK
ATLANTIC	Biogeographic region/Atlantic	N/A
CONTINENT	Biogeographic	N/A
	region/Continental	
MACARONES	Biogeographic	N/A
	region/Macaronesian	
MEDITERR	Biogeographic	N/A
	region/Mediterranean	
BOREAL	Biogeographic region/Boreal	N/A
PANNONIC	Biogeographic	N/A
	region/Pannonian	
PONTIC	Biogeographic region/Black	OK
	Sea	
STEPPIC	Biogeographic region/Steppic	OK
QUALITY	Description Site Quality	No information given for 20 sites
VULNAR	Description Site Vulnerability	No information given for 20 sites
DESIGN	Description Site Designation	No information given for 18 sites
OWNER	Description Site Ownership	No information given for 20 sites
DOCUM	Description Site Documentation	No information given for 20 site
CHARACT	Description Site Character	No information given for 19 site
MANAGPL	Description Site Management	No information given for 15 sites
	Plan	_
PHOTOS	Aerial photographs availability	No reference to photos yet included
MAPSINCL	Maps Included	No reference to maps yet included

Table AMPREP: Amphibian and reptiles

Field Name	Description	Comment
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	No information for 1 species and the sign
BREEDING	Breeding population	"+" has no standard meaning according to
WINTER	Wintering population	manual: if "present", "P" should be used
STAGING	Staging population	
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	1 species with no criteria indicated
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	
ISOLATION	Site Assessment: Isolation	
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	

Table BIRD: Birds

Field Name	Description	Comment
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	41 records with no indication
BREEDING	Breeding population	the sign "+" has no standard meaning
WINTER	Wintering population	according to manual: if "present", "P"
STAGING	Staging population	should be used
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	4 records with criteria indicated, but
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	population is "D"
ISOLATION	Site Assessment: Isolation	50 records with no criteria indicated
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	7 records with only "population"
		14 records with no "isolation"
		2 records with no "global"

Table FISHES: Fishes

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	the sign "+" has no standard meaning
BREEDING	Breeding population	according to manual: if "present", "P"
WINTER	Wintering population	should be used
STAGING	Staging population	
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	1 record with population "D", but criteria
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	are filled
ISOLATION	Site Assessment: Isolation	6 records with no "isolation"
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	

Table INVERT: Invertebrates

Field Name	Description	Comment
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	64 records with no data and
BREEDING	Breeding population	the sign "+" has no standard meaning
WINTER	Wintering population	according to manual: if "present", "P"
STAGING	Staging population	should be used
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	1 record with no criteria
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	

ISOLATION
)BAL

Table MAMMAL: Mammals

Field Name	Description	Comment
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	5 records with no data and the sign "+" or
BREEDING	Breeding population	"-" has no standard meaning according to
WINTER	Wintering population	the manual: if "present", "P" should be
STAGING	Staging population	used
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	6 records with no criteria indicated
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	4 records with population "D", but
ISOLATION	Site Assessment: Isolation	criteria are filled
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	

Table PLANT: Plants

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
ANNEX_II	Resolution 6 species Y/N	OK
SPECNUM	Species Number	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
RESIDENT	Resident population	no indication for 25 records
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	OK
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	
ISOLATION	Site Assessment: Isolation	
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	

Table SPEC: Other important species

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
TAXGROUP	Taxonomic group	OK
SPECNAME	Species Name	OK
POPULATION	Site Assessment: Population	no indication for 120 records
MOTIVATION	Motivation for inclusion	27 records with no motivation

Table ACTVTY: Impact and human activity in and around site

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK, but no activity codes given for sites 1

		to 6
ACT_CODE	Activity code	OK
IN_OUT	In site / Out site	OK
INTENSITY	Intensity code	no intensity given for 4 records
COVER	% cover by activity	No % cover given for 29 records
INFLUENCE	Influence on site	1 record with no influence given

Table HABIT1: Resolution 4 (1996) Habitat Types

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
HBCDAX	Habitat Code of Resolution 4	OK
COVER	% cover by habitat	1 record with no % given
REPRESENT	Site Assessment: Representativity	6 records with missing data
REL_SURF	Site Assessment: Relative Surface	14 records with missing data
CONSERVE	Site Assessment: Conservation	
GLOBAL	Site Assessment: Global	

Table HABIT1A: Other important Habitat Types

Important notice: this table has been suggested for deletion in the new SDF; no emphasis should be given to enter new data

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
HBCDAX	Habitat Code	OK
COVER	% cover by habitat	OK

Table HABIT2: General Habitat Types

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	Missing data for site 18, 19 and 20
HABCODE	General habitat code	OK
COVER	% cover by general habitat type	OK

Table REGCODE: Regions

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	Missing data for site 18, 19 and 20
REC_CODE	Region Code	OK
COVER	% cover by region	1 records with missing %

Table DESIGC: Site designation codes

1	Field Name	Description	Comments
	ricia rianic	Description	Comments

SITECODE	Site Code	missing data for site 18 and 19
DESICODE	Designation Code	OK, but if code GE99 is used, the protection type should be explained in the "DESIGN" memo field
COVER	% cover by designation	No % given for 10 sites

Table DESIGR: Relation to designated sites

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	OK
DESICODE	Designation Code	OK
DES_SITE	Name of designated site	OK
OVERLAP	Overlap type	OK
OVERLAP_P	% overlap Natura / Designated	OK
	site	

Table CORINE: Relation to CORINE Biotopes sites

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	N/A
CORINE	Corine Biotopes code	N/A
OVERLAP	Overlap type	N/A
OVERLAP_P	% overlap Biotope / Designated	N/A
	site	

Table SITREL: Relation to other EMERALD Sites

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	N/A
OTHERTYPE	Type of related EMERALD site	N/A
OTHERSITE	Site Code related EMERALD site	N/A

Table MAP: Map information

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	No information given yet
MAP_NO	Map number	
SCALE	Map Scale	
PROJECTION	Map Projection	
DETAILS	Digitized boundaries details	

Table PHOTO: Aerial photographs and slides

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	No information given yet

TYPE	Aerial photograph or slide
REFNUM	Aerial photo reference
LOCATION	Photo/Slide location
DESCRIPT	Photo/Slide description
DATE	Photo/Slide date
AUTHOR	Slide Author/Copyright

Table HISTRY: History information

Field Name	Description	Comments
SITECODE	Site Code	N/A
KEYWORD	History keyword	
DESCRIPT	Description of change	
DATE	Change date	

Table RESP: Respondent

Field Name	Description	Comments
RESPOND	Respondent information	OK, but some more detail would be
		welcome